

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

or

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,512	03/17/2004	John R. Lewis	MVIS 98-52 C 3	3964
75	90 12/10/2004		EXAM	INER
Christopher A.		ROBINSON, MARK A		
Intellectual Prop				
Microvision, Inc.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO Box 3008		2872		
Bothell, WA 98041			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/802,512	LEWIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark A. Robinson	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 C	October 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 35-54 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35-46 is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 47-54 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/17/04.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Art Unit: 2872

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group II (claims 47-54) in the reply filed on 10/12/04 is acknowledged. Claims 35-46 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. Some of the foreign references on the IDS have been lined through since copies of these references have not been provided.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 47,48 and 50-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Metlitsky (US 5545886).

Metlitsky discloses an image capture device or bar code scanner including plural laser diode beam emitters(81-83) able

Application/Control Number: 10/802,512 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

to operate sequentially and sharing a common scanning mirror(76), a photodetector(15) outputting a signal to a decoder(20) which produces a bitmap (fig. 19) image of the field of view.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Metlitsky (US 5545886) in view of Obata (US 5597997).

Metlitsky further discloses emission and detection of a plurality of unique wavelengths of light (fig. 17), but does not explicitly teach plural photodetectors for receiving the light. However, Obata teaches multiple detectors for the various wavelengths (see fig. 6a). It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to use plural detectors as shown by Obata as an art-recognized

Art Unit: 2872

equivalent means for multiple wavelength detection as the detecting arrangement shown by Metlitsky. Note that the use of multiple detectors would also provide greater flexibility in the positioning or calibration of the individual detectors.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tang teaches a bar code scanner with plural beam emitters and detectors, a scanning mirror and decoder. Krichever shows another arrangement for a bar code scanner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2319.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,512 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR

12/7/04

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER